

North Finchley Partnership Board – Fifth Meeting Minutes

28/11/2022 | 18.45 | Location: Arts Depot, North Finchley

Agenda Item	Timing	Minutes		
Housekeeping	18.45 –	Attendees: Partnership Board Members		
	18.50	٧	Cllr Ross Houston	NFPB Chair and Chair of the Housing and Growth Committee
		٧	Cllr Geoffrey Cooke	Woodhouse Ward Cllr, substituting Cllr Anne Hutton
			Cllr Danny Rich	West Finchley Ward Cllr
		٧	Alison Bell	The Finchley Society
		٧	Susanna Morales	Barnet Homes, substituting Derek Rust
			Esmine Puzone	Local Business: Finishing Touches
			Jacob Peak	Youth Representation
		٧	Liz Longworth	Northside Primary School Headteacher
		٧	Miriam Berger	Principal Rabbi of Finchley Reform Synagogue
		٧	Monique Deletant	Arts Depot CEO
		٧	Nick Goodman	Local Resident
			Pravin Varsani	Local Business
			Rickardo Stewart	Founder of Community Souls & Art Against Knives
		٧	Senan Sexton	Local Business: The Bohemia
		٧	Tim Stevenson	Lodge Lane Residents Association
			Tony Allaway	Ravensdale Residents Association
		٧	Zoe Newell	Local Business: Innovation Dance
		٧	Steve Harrington	Regal
		٧	Jonathan Joseph	Joseph & Partners
		٧	Ashleigh Watkins	Town Centres Investment Manager at Barnet Council
		٧	Zeina Al-Derry	Town Centres Senior Project Officer at Barnet Council

		Atte	ndees: Other representation	n from consultants/invites guests:	
		٧	Ghislaine Halpenny	Regal	
		٧	Jessica Cargill-Thompson	LBB Town Centres Consultant, Soundings	
		٧	David Pierce	LBB Town Centres Consultant, Dallas–Pierce–Quintero (DPQ)	
		٧	Julia Xu	Programme Manager at Barnet Council	
		٧	Suley Muhidin	Communication Lead at Barnet Council	
Introductions	18.50				
a. Welcome from Cllr Ross Houstonb. Introducing new members to the NFPB	_ 18.55	 Note: Cllr Houston emphasised the need to clarify the purpose of each community consultation taking place in North Finchley Town Centre to minimise confusion. Note: Tim Stevenson has produced a helpful diagram explaining the structure of the regeneration programme. It is currently being reviewed to ensure accuracy and will be circulated after this process is complete. 			
Walkthrough of Engagement Activities: a. Creative Placemaking, Wayfinding and Graphic Identity	18.55 - 20.30	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

- TS: Queried whether commercial businesses will be on the website.	
- DP: Explained that the intention is to say no to commercial, but this can change if there is a community interest in bringing that in.	
- ZA Action: To share links to allow NFPB members and the wider community to populate the website.	
- AB: Queried whether the photos are from local businesses.	
- ZA: Clarified that particular photo is from MK's Café and that the photos are from North Finchley, not stock images.	
- Discussion Note: It was agreed that it is important to see faces of local people to humanise the website and tell storied of the town centre.	
 MD: Stated that there is a small area downstairs in the artsdepot that they want to activate with a takeaway bar and café to attract people into the building, but they will need to run a drainpipe. Their intention is to pilot a games night and attract people who are passing by through lights and activity. There is a synergy here that would complement the next stage of delivering the gathering spaces. 	
- ZN: Stated that their end of year performances will be taking place in June or the second week of July, and they	
are open for doing small scale outdoor street dance/performances to test the cultural programming.	
- Note: NFPB members were supportive of the next phase of the project to deliver the gathering spaces, which is	
based on the public's support through the consultation.	
b. Update on the Council-led Public Realm Design Framework	
Summary queries/notes in relation to what was presented:	
- RH : Stated that he heard positive feedback from the community on the recent workshops undertaken by the team to explore the community principles, which is building on DPQ's creative placemaking work.	
- Note : Students form the dance schools who participated in the workshops offered a different perspective on the public realm.	
- S-Morales : Queried whether any engagement has been undertaken with secondary schools or colleges.	
- JCT: Noted that 1:1 stakeholders' consultation is still ongoing and that local schools are noted as key	
stakeholders.	
- MB : Noted that the international bachelorette school Dwight is a key stakeholder to engage with and that she is happy to put the team in touch with.	
- TS: Queried the quick-win element of this project.	
- DP: Clarified that the creative placemaking project is the quick-win project to pilot public realm interventions	
and is programmed for June 2023.	
- TS: Queried how clutter and spillage/buckets issue will be addressed, noting that café culture seating is	

	perfectly acceptable.
	 Action: To follow up with the enforcement team and setup a walkabout with them in the new year to discuss this.
	 Business Support and Other Council-led Initiatives AW: Noted that the recently launched website has 40+ businesses on it, and that there will be a WhatsApp
	group developed to make it easier for businesses to access what's available on the programme.
	 ZN: Noted that the abovementioned WhatsApp group has just launched, and she has been asked to join. MB: Queried the different levels of engagement.
d. Council Updates regarding the Town Centre Regeneration Programme	 AW: Explained that there are three different levels of engagement with businesses. The first is "this is what we are offering, and this is how you can get involved", the second level involves working with businesses, who wan support, to develop tailored action plans, and the third is then working with these businesses to deliver these action plans.
	- ZN : Suggested to look into the timings of the events and arranging them to working hours when the children are at school. There are several interactive things that they need to do but couldn't because of the children.
	 MD: Noted that other programmes around business support tend to have a morning time and an evening time, which was very helpful to cover the differing availability of businesses across different sectors.
	d. Council Updates regarding the Town Centre Regeneration Programme
	Note: Th council provided an update, stating that following unforeseen delays arising through engagement with TfL on the scheme, the council has recommenced this engagement process around the transport strategy for the town centre. This includes discussions around the proposed relocation of the bus depot in accordance with the SPD to unlock wider public realm/highway improvements for the town centre.
	 AB: Stated that local people find the bus depot unwelcoming and unsafe.
	- RH : Reiterated that the council is working hard to find a solution that doesn't impact the current running of TfL services and is better for the town centre.
	- SH : Noted that Regal began having their own meetings with the GLA and that TfL is part of that conversation. H added that the outcome would be better if the bus depot is relocated.
	- NG : Queried if that involves highway rerouting?
	- SH : Confirmed that it does.
	- NG: Asked what does Regal want to see in the space?
	- SH : Stated that it's a bit premature to say but a blend of mixed-uses.

Note: The council's adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) identifies Finchley Lido Leisure Centre as needing facility improvements. As part of this, the council is exploring the possibility of relocating the existing leisure facilities from Great North Leisure Park (GNLP) to the town centre. As a part of this the council will be undertaking initial engagement with community, to understand user experience, existing use to start to consider options around the possible relocation to North Finchley Town Centre as part of the regeneration scheme and placemaking.

- **MB**: Queried if it's a success where it is why move it up the road?
- **S-Muhidin**: To unlock more potential and coordinate with the regeneration.
- **JX**: Stated that it's a town centre regeneration and a key aim is to bring more people into the town centre, revitalise the town centre, and the provision of a leisure facility will improve the regeneration overall.
- **AW**: Expanded that the existing leisure facility has been identified by the Council as requiring a substantial refurbishment. He also indicated that the current accessibility of the facility for users not travelling by Car is difficult in its present location.
- **RH:** Expanded, stating that there would be a tube station close by if relocated, and one of the council's key policies is getting to net zero and improving accessibility to the town centre. He added that a lot of busses terminate in North Finchley and the relocation is worth looking into.
- **Note:** Discussion around how much of the Lido's success is based on having parking, highlighting that parking/drop off at least for school coaches would have to be supplied.
- **LL:** Stated that the school doesn't use the facility anymore. It closed over lockdown, and we stopped using it after it reopened because it's more expensive. We would also have to take the coach down and question its successfulness.
- **TS:** Highlighted that it's key to get input from local residents' associations.
- **LL:** Added that engagement with the schools that use it is key to this process.
- **S-Morales:** Suggested to talk to the restaurants located on the North London Leisure Park and ask them if they want to relocate to the high street.
- AB: Queried whether the whole thing would move.
- JX: Confirmed, it's just the leisure centre which is a council facility, and Lodge Lane is a council facility.
- **S-Morales:** Stated that Barnet Together is a key stakeholder to reach out to support the engagement activities.

Community-led Discussion	- TS: Queried whether CIL/S106 funds raised by the phase 1 development will be reinvested in North Finchley.
Points	- RH: Stated that we can't give a firm answer because there is no policy to address this. S106 is generally invested in the area and CIL is more widespread.
AOB	 SH: Added that Regal have been making that representation and would want the money to be spent locally for obvious reasons.
	- GC: Queried if there is a timetable for regenerating.
	- SH: Stated that Regal will spend the next couple of years in the planning system, and 2024 is when Regal expect at the earliest for construction to happen.
	- GC: Queried what view are the planners taking about smaller planning applications.
	- JX: The planning has its own process, assessing each application on its own merit, however, the council had
	taken measures through setting up internal boards to ensure the interface between the planning, development and regeneration remain coordinated.
	- AW: Added that the planners are using the SPD as material consideration in their assessment, to ensure that all developments are working collectively to support the delivery of this coordinated vision.
	- ZN: Queried how long will the NFPB continue meeting for?
	- RH: The intention is for it to continue indefinitely to support the town entre beyond the regeneration project.
	- Upcoming North Finchley Partnership Board meetings:
	 Monday 6th March 2023
	 Monday 12th or 19th June 2023
	 Monday 11th or 18th September 2023
	 Monday 27th November 2023